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## I. Current Conditions

## Future Returns Are Likely to Be Lower
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## People Are Living Longer

## Average Life Expectancy for a 65-Year-Old*

| Age 98 |  | Age 101 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | +6 | HNW - Top 25\% | +7 |
| +7 | Today - Top 25\% | +7 |  |
| 13 Years | Today | +5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Recent Legislation Increased Federal Tax Rates

Top Marginal Federal Income Tax Rates*

|  | Pre-2013 | Today | \% Change <br> (Today/Pre-2013) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Qualified <br> dividends | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $23.8 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| Long-term <br> capital gains | 15 | 23.8 | 59 |
| Taxable <br> interest | 35 | 43.4 | 24 |
| Earned <br> income <br> (including | 40.65 | 48.15 | 18 |
| OASDI and <br> Medicare)** |  |  |  |

${ }^{* *}$ In 2013, OASDI rate returned to pre-2011 level of $6.2 \%$, and Medicare tax rate increased to $2.35 \%$ for wages in excess of $\$ 200,000$ (single filer) or $\$ 250,000$ (joint filer). "Earned income" blended rates do not account for phase-out of OASDI for wages in excess of threshold amount ( $\$ 117,000$ in 2014, indexed for inflation thereafter). Tabulated figures exclude state and local taxes.
Sources: IRS and AllianceBernstein

## Progressivity of Federal Tax Brackets Has Increased

## Marginal Federal Tax Rate on Long-Term Capital Gains and Qualified Dividends* <br> Joint Filers, Income Brackets (\$ Thou.)

| Long-Term Capital Gain | Tax |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\$ 500 k-T o p ~ M a r g i n a l ~$ | $\$ 119,000$ |
| $\$ 500 k-F u l l ~ B r a c k e t ~ R u n ~$ | $\$ 75,550$ |


*Based on Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 and the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012. Long-term capital gains rates in 2014: 0\% on capital-gains portion of taxable income up to $\$ 73,800,15 \%$ on income over $\$ 73,800$ to $\$ 457,600$, and $20 \%$ on income above $\$ 457,600$. Medicare surtax of $3.8 \%$ applies to net investment income that exceeds a modified adjusted-gross-income of $\$ 250,000$. All income thresholds are based on joint filers. Bernstein is not a legal, tax or estate advisor. Investors should consult these professionals as appropriate before making any decisions.
Source: IRS and AllianceBernstein

## 3.8\% Medicare Surtax on Net Investment Income (NII)

> Not just a rate increase . . . a completely separate tax on the passive income of certain high-income individuals, trusts, and estates

- NII includes
- Interest, dividends, rents, and royalties
- Capital gain income
- Nonqualified annuities
- Trade or business in which the taxpayer did not materially participate
- NII excludes
- Active income derived from a trade or business
- Tax-exempt bond interest
- Gain on sale of principal residence
- Qualified retirement plan or IRA distributions
- Nonqualified deferred compensation
- Exercise of incentive stock options (ISO)
- Social Security income
- Alimony received


## Deductions Against NII

> Deductible by individuals and trusts to the extent attributable to NII

- State income taxes
- Local and foreign income taxes
- Ordinary and necessary expenses incurred in obtaining a tax refund
- Ordinary and necessary expenses incurred by fiduciary in the administration of a trust or estate
- Other specified investment and miscellaneous expenses
> Deductible by trusts and estates to the extent attributable to NII
- Income distribution deduction
- Section 642(c) deduction for charitable contributions and set asides

For individuals, trusts, and estates, the surtax is computed separately on Form 8960

## Not Deductible Against NII

> The following categories of normally deductible expenses (among many others) are not deductible against NII

- Alimony paid
- Contributions to qualified plans and IRAs
- Standard deduction and personal exemption
- Charitable contributions
- Medical expenses
- Mortgage interest
- Real estate taxes
- Sales taxes


## Who Are "High-Income" Taxpayers?

$>$ Tax on NII is $3.8 \%$ of the lesser of

- Net investment income; or
- Excess, if any, of
- Modified adjusted gross income, over
- "Threshold amount," which is
- $\$ 250,000$ for married couples filing jointly
- $\$ 200,000$ for single filers
- \$125,000 for married taxpayer filing separately
> For a taxable trust or estate, tax on NII is $3.8 \%$ of the lesser of
- Undistributed net investment income*; or
- Excess, if any, of
- Adjusted gross income, over
- Threshold amount of \$12,150 (inflation-indexed)


## Highest 2014 State Income Tax Rates*


*As of January 1, 2014. Top rate on "last dollar" of income. Local income taxes not included.
Source: taxfoundation.org

## In the "Grand Scheme," How Important Is the 3.8\% Surtax?

## Attribution of Overall Tax Liability to Its Sources

 California Taxpayer, Federal and State Taxes on Long-Term Capital Gains and Qualified Dividends* Joint Filers| Total Capital <br>  <br> Qualified <br> Dividend <br> Income | $\$ 500,000$ |  | \% of <br> Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Federal Income of <br> Tax | $(\$ 66,050)$ | $13.2 \%$ | $57.2 \%$ |
| Tax <br> Paid |  |  |  |
| Surtax | $(\$ 7,922)$ | $1.6 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
| California State <br> Income Tax | $(\$ 41,518)$ | $8.3 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ |
| Net Remaining <br> After Tax | $\$ 384,510$ | $76.9 \%$ |  |


$\square$ Federal Income Tax
■ 3.8\% Medicare Surtax
■ State Income Tax

## In the "Grand Scheme," How Important Is the 3.8\% Surtax?

## Attribution of Overall Tax Liability to Its Sources South Dakota Taxpayer, Federal and State Taxes on Long-Term Capital Gains and Qualified Dividends* Joint Filers

| Total Capital <br>  <br> Qualified <br> Dividend <br> Income | $\$ 500,000$ | \% of <br> Total | \% of <br> Tax <br> Paid |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal Income <br> Tax | $(\$ 66,050)$ | $13.2 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ |
| $3.8 \%$ Medicare <br> Surtax | $(\$ 9,500)$ | $1.9 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ |
| Texas State <br> Income Tax <br> Net Remaining <br> After Tax | $\$ 424,450$ | $84.9 \%$ |  |


II. Avoidance Strategy (Pre-Tax Dollars): Maximize PreTax Contributions to Qualified Retirement Plans

## Deferral Possibility for Professionals: Cash Balance Plans


*Includes "catch-up" contribution for participants ages 50 and older.
Sources: IRS and AllianceBernstein

## New Plan Benefits Significantly From Retiring in Lower Tax Rate State

## Sustainable Spending Rate In Retirement

(\$, Real*)


Based on Bernstein's estimates of the range of returns for the applicable capital markets over the next 40 years. Data do not represent past performance and are not a promise of actual or range of future results. Asset values represent the estimated market value; if the assets were liquidated, additional capital gains, ordinary income or losses would be realized that are not reflected here. See Appendix, Notes on Wealth Forecasting System, for details.
*Assumes total after-tax living expenses of $\$ 178,500$ per year, indexed for inflation in years 1-10 and spending as displayed above in years 11-40. All spending figures are adjusted for inflation. Sustainable spending rate is calculated at a $90 \%$ level of confidence. Assumes an investment allocation of $60 \%$ global stocks and $40 \%$ bonds. "Global stocks" means $21 \%$ US diversified stocks, $21 \%$ US value stocks, $21 \%$ US growth stocks, $7 \%$ US small and mid cap stocks, $22.5 \%$ developed international stocks, and $7.5 \%$ emerging markets stocks. "Bonds" mean intermediateterm municipal bonds in taxable accounts and intermediate-term taxable bonds in tax-deferred accounts.
Source: AllianceBernstein

## III. Deferral Strategy: Charitable Remainder Trust

## Tiered Accounting Rules with Net Investment Income

| Category | Class | Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Ordinary Income | NII Interest | $43.4 \%$ |
|  | Excluded Interest | $39.6 \%$ |
|  | NII Qualified Dividend | $23.8 \%$ |
|  | Excluded Qualified | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0 \%}$ |
|  | Dividend |  |
| Capital Gain | NII Short-Term Gain | $\mathbf{4 3 . 4 \%}$ |
|  | Excluded Short-Term Gain | $\mathbf{3 9 . 6 \%}$ |
|  | NII Long-Term Gain | $23.8 \%$ |
|  | Excluded Long-Term Gain | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Other Income | Tax-Exempt Interest | $\mathbf{0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Corpus | Basis | n/a |

## Zero-Basis Stock: Over Very Long Horizons, a CRUT Can Enhance Personal Wealth Significantly

Median Total Wealth—Year 40*<br>(\$ Mil, Real)

| $\$ 10$ Mil. <br> Outright Sale |
| :---: |

\$10 Mil. Lifetime CRUT
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# IV. Income-Shifting Strategy: Aggressively Distribute Trust Accounting Income 

## Revisiting Traditional Thinking

## Traditional Advice

■ Don't distribute from a dynasty trust

■ Allow assets to grow over time
$\square$ Avoid estate tax for as many generations as possible

## New Paradigm

■ Could remainder beneficiaries be better off if trust made distributions, avoiding higher tax rates within trust?

■ A number of factors may now change the equation:

- Beneficiary income tax status/situation
- Trust income tax situation
- Number of beneficiaries
- Time horizon


## Larger Tax Difference Between Individuals and Trusts Post-ATRA

| Income Type | Tax Rate | Adjusted Gross Income Threshold |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|   Single Filer Trust <br> Short-Term Gains and <br> Ordinary Income $39.6 \%$ $\$ 406,751$ $\$ 12,150$ <br> Long-Term Gains and <br> Qualified Dividends $20.0 \%$ $\$ 406,751$ $\$ 12,150$ <br> Medicare Surtax on Net <br> Investment Income $3.8 \%$ $\$ 200,000$ $\$ 12,150$. |  |  |  |

Effective Federal Income Tax Rate* Long-Term Capital Gain Income


As of January 2014.
*Effective federal income tax rate is computed assuming the only source of income is the long-term capital gain amount indicated on the $x$-axis.
Source: IRS and AllianceBernstein


[^2]
## V. Avoidance Strategy (After-Tax Dollars): Life Insurance-But Mostly Because I Think This Is Cool

## What a "Healthy" Policy Looks Like

# Median Nominal Wealth <br> \$ Millions <br> Stock / Bond Allocation 
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## What a "Sick" Policy Looks Like

## Median Nominal Wealth

\$ Millions
Stock / Bond Allocation
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## How to Make a "Sick" Policy "Healthy"

## Median Nominal Wealth

\$ Millions
Stock / Bond Allocation
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## "Right-Sizing" the Insurance-Flattening the Curve
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## Integration of Insurance and Lifetime Wealth Transfer
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## AB <br> BERNSTEIN


[^0]:    *Projected pretax 30 -year compound annual growth rate. Stocks (or "global equities") are modeled as $21 \%$ US diversified, $21 \%$ US value, $21 \%$ US growth, $7 \%$ US small/mid-cap, $22.5 \%$ developed international and $7.5 \%$ emerging-market stocks, and bonds are modeled as intermediate-term diversified municipal bonds. Reflects Bernstein's estimates and the capital-markets conditions as of December 31, 2013. Based on Bernstein's estimates of the range of returns for the applicable capital markets over the periods analyzed. Data do not represent past performance and are not a promise of future results or a range of future results. See Notes on Wealth Forecasting System for further details.
    **Historical compound return calculated from January 1, 1984, through December 31, 2013 with equities represented as follows: 70\% S\&P 500 and $30 \%$ MSCI EAFE from 1984 through 1987, and 70\% S\&P 500, 25\% MSCI EAFE and 5\% MSCI EM thereafter; bonds represented by the Lipper Short/Intermediate Municipal Bond Fund Average. Source: Lipper, MSCI, Standard \& Poor's and AllianceBernstein

[^1]:    *Wealth values include charitable deduction from CRT based upon joint lifetime of two 65 year olds and a section 7520 rate of $2.4 \%$.
    Based on Bernstein's estimates of the range of long-term returns for the applicable capital markets. Data do not represent past performance and are not a promise of actual future results or a range of future results. See Notes on Wealth Forecasting System in Appendix for further details.
    Source: AllianceBernstein

[^2]:    *80/20 modeled as $80 \%$ stocks and $20 \%$ bonds. Stocks are modeled as $63 \%$ US large-cap, $7 \%$ US small-/mid-cap, $22.5 \%$ developed international and $7.5 \%$ emerging markets stocks. Bonds are modeled as intermediate-term municipals.
    ${ }^{* *}$ Assumptions: trust distributes pretax annual income and capital gains up to $\$ 250,000$ per year (nominal) to each beneficiary; beneficiary invests after-tax distributions in $80 / 20$ portfolio. It further assumes each beneficiary has no outside income or other assets and is not subject to estate tax in the future.
    Based on Bernstein's estimates of the range of returns for the applicable capital markets over the periods analyzed. See Notes on Wealth Forecasting System at the end of this presentation for further details. Data do not represent past performance and are not a promise of actual future results or a range of future results.

[^3]:    Initial value of portfolio is assumed to equal the cash surrender value of $\$ 50,352$. The annual premium of $\$ 28,005$ is assumed to be invested in a $30 \%$ globally diversified equity and $70 \%$ intermediate-term municipal bond (30/70) portfolio and $60 \%$ globally diversified equity and $40 \%$ intermediate-term municipal bond ( $60 / 40$ ). No taxes have been modeled throughout the analysis as the funds are assumed to be invested in a grantor trust. In addition, we adjusted the value of the trust to account for the impact taxes will have on the grantors portfolio and the reduced amount the beneficiaries will receive.
    *HNW male/female couple, nonsmokers, ages 51/51: 43 years (ages 94/94) *Source: Bernstein estimate derived from mortality tables developed for high-net-worth couples. See Harold D. Skipper and Wayne Tonning, The Advisor's Guide to Life Insurance 346 (2011).
    Based on Bernstein's estimates of range of returns for applicable capital markets over the applicable period. Data do not represent past performance and are not a promise of actual results or a range of future results. See Appendix, Notes on Wealth Forecasting System, for details.

[^4]:    Initial value of portfolio is assumed to equal the cash surrender value of $\$ 708,317$. The annual premium of $\$ 114,350$ is assumed to be invested in a $30 \%$ globally diversified equity and $70 \%$ intermediate-term municipal bond (30/70) portfolio and $60 \%$ globally diversified equity and $40 \%$ intermediate-term municipal bond (60/40). Top marginal federal tax rates and Arizona state tax rates have been modeled throughout the analysis
    *HNW male, nonsmoker, age 51: 36.5 years (age 87.5) *Source: Bernstein estimate derived from mortality tables developed for high-net-worth individuals. See Harold D. Skipper and Wayne Tonning, The Advisor's Guide to Life Insurance 337 (2011).
    Based on Bernstein's estimates of range of returns for applicable capital markets over the applicable period. Data do not represent past performance and are not a promise of actual results or a range of future results. See Appendix, Notes on Wealth Forecasting System, for details.

[^5]:    Initial value of portfolio is assumed to equal the cash surrender value of $\$ 691,260$. The annual premium of $\$ 114,350$ is assumed to be invested in a $30 \%$ globally diversified equity and $70 \%$ intermediate-term municipal bond (30/70) portfolio and $60 \%$ globally diversified equity and $40 \%$ intermediate-term municipal bond ( $60 / 40$ ) from 2015-2063. Top marginal federal tax rates and Arizona state tax rates have been modeled throughout the analysis.
    *HNW male, nonsmoker, age 51: 36.5 years (age 87.5) *Source: Bernstein estimate derived from mortality tables developed for high-net-worth individuals. See Harold D. Skipper and Wayne Tonning, The Advisor's Guide to Life Insurance 337 (2011).
    Based on Bernstein's estimates of range of returns for applicable capital markets over the applicable period. Data do not represent past performance and are not a promise of actual results or a range of future results. See Appendix, Notes on Wealth Forecasting System, for details.

[^6]:    The total wealth in each year represents the combined value of Mrs. Client's estate after estate tax, any assets held in the IDGTs, and any life insurance proceeds. The life insurance proceeds have only been modeled in scenarios B and C. In scenario B, we modeled three $\$ 2$ million term policies ( $\$ 6 \mathrm{M}$ total) with terms of 10 , 10 and 15 years. In scenario C, we modeled three $\$ 1.5$ million term policies ( $\$ 4.5 \mathrm{M}$ total) with terms of 10,10 and 15 years. The annual premiums for these policies in scenario B (and C) are assumed to be paid by the ILIT in the amount of $\$ 25,030(\$ 18,773)$ for 10 years, $\$ 30,159(\$ 22,619)$ for 10 years, $\$ 34,750(\$ 26,063)$ for 15 years.
    A federal estate tax rate of $40 \%$ was applied to any assets remaining in the Mrs. Client's estate in the year of death. It is assumed that the Mrs. Client has $\$ 4$ million of her application exclusion amount remaining as of 2014.
    Based on Bernstein's estimates of range of returns for applicable capital markets over the applicable period. Data do not represent past performance and are not a promise of actual results or a range of future results. See Appendix, Notes on Wealth Forecasting System, for details.

[^7]:    The total wealth in each year represents the combined value of Mrs. Client's estate after estate tax, any assets held in the IDGTs, and any life insurance proceeds. The life insurance proceeds have only been modeled in scenarios B, C and D from years $1-15$ with proceeds of $\$ 6$ million from years $1-10$ and $\$ 2$ million from years $10-15$. A federal estate tax rate of $40 \%$ was applied to any assets remaining in the Mrs. Client's estate in the year of death. It is assumed that the Mrs. Client has $\$ 4$ million of her application exclusion amount remaining as of 2014.
    Based on Bernstein's estimates of range of returns for applicable capital markets over the applicable period. Data do not represent past performance and are not a promise of actual results or a range of future results. See Appendix, Notes on Wealth Forecasting System, for details.

