Comparison of IRA and Trust Estate Planning Options

Obviously individual situations of products/services below will vary widely: for instance, some custodial IRAs do not permit full stretch out, some trusteed IRAs are so inflexible as to be no different than custodial IRAs, some annuity companies do not offer restricted beneficiary options, and, of course, individual trusts vary widely as well and scant guidance is available for qualifying "accumulation trusts". And many clients may opt not to use the maximum protections below. These are generalizations based on using the maximum capability and flexibility of the services. State law and individual plans differ.

"RMD"=required minimum distribution. "QRP"=qualified retirement plan. "LE"=life expectancy, aka applicable distribution period "RBD"=required beginning date. "LPOA"=limited power of appointment. "GPOA"=general power of appointment. "ET"=estate tax "DB"=designated beneficiary. "IRD"=income in respect of a decedent. ""IM"=investment management/trustee fee Comments/Criticism welcome, permission to reprint liberally given: edwin.morrow3@gmail.com

	Characteristics:	Custodial IRA w/ indiv. as bene	Trusteed IRA (aka IRT) indiv. as bene	IRA Annuity ind. as bene	IRA payable to Conduit Trust trust as bene	IRA payable to Accumul. Trust trust as bene
	<u>During Owner's Lifetime</u>					
1	Income tax deferral during owner's lifetime	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
2	Optimal tax table if spouse >10 yrs younger	yes	yes	yes	yes	no
3	Ability to customize bene. designation form	very limited	probably	no	NA	NA
4	Ability to pay RMD in incapacity situation	no	yes	no	NA	NA
5	Any IRA permitted Investment choices	yes	yes	no	NA	NA
6	Surrender charges to owner	no	no	yes	NA	NA
7	Appropriate for small asset level	yes	no	yes	no	no
8	High internal investment fees/expenses	no	no	yes	no	no
9	Attorney fees for drafting/review/updating (of course, depends on level of customization)	low	low-medium	low	medium	high
10	Appropriate for QRP while owner working (unless or until IRA rollover is available)	no	no	no	yes	yes

Comparison of IRA and Trust Estate Planning Options - page 2

<u>Characteristics:</u>	Custodial IRA w/	Trusteed IRA (aka IRT)	IRA Annuity	IRA payable to Conduit Trust	IRA payable to Accumul. Trust
After Owner's Death	indiv. as bene	indiv. as bene	ind. as bene	trust as bene	trust as bene
11 Allows beneficiaries/trust to "Stretch" out RMDs	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
12 Allows owner to restrict bene to RMDs	no	yes	yes	yes	yes
13 Can restrict bene to RMDs, but more w discr.	no	yes	no	yes	yes
14 Can restrict trust so that not even RMDs paid	no	no	no	no	yes
15 Allows owner to mandate remainder beneficiary (keep IRA not distributed "in the bloodline")	no	yes	yes	yes	yes
16 Allows longer spousal deferral via LE recalc (if owner dies < RBD and spouse is sole bene)	yes	yes	yes	yes	no
17 Allows longer spousal deferral via delayed RBD	yes	yes	yes	yes	no
18 Allows spouse to name new DB and use new LE (if spouse dies < RBD commencement of RMDs)	yes	yes (if spouse is s	yes ole bene and ca	probably n appoint BDF)	no
19 Bankruptcy protection from bene's creditors (a few states protect inherited IRA or annuities)	probably not (Clark case)	yes	probably not (Clark case)	yes	yes (best)
20 Protection from division in beneficiary divorce (varies depending on residency, "vesting", etc)	some (if kept in IRA)	good	good	good	best (potentially)
21 State spendthrift protection from bene's creditors	no	yes	probably not	yes	yes
22 Pure discretionary trust (optimum) protection	no	no	no	no	if so drafted
23 "To or for the benefit of" protection for RMDs paid indirectly for bene's expenses? (varies by state)	no	probably	no	probably	probably, moot if discretionary

Comparison of IRA and Trust Estate Planning Options - page 3						
Characteristics: After Owner's Death	Custodial IRA w/ indiv. as bene	Trusteed IRA (aka IRT) indiv. as bene	IRA Annuity ind. as bene	IRA payable to Conduit Trust trust as bene	IRA payable to Accumul. Trust trust as bene	
24 Simple post-mortem accounting/administration (no Forms 1041, K-1, \$500-\$2000/yr. acct fees)	yes	yes	yes	no	no	
25 Ability to grant broad LPOA equivalent	n/a	yes	probably not	yes	no, limited	
26 Ability to grant GPOA equivalent	default	yes	yes	yes	no, limited	
27 Appropriate for GST non-exempt trust (w/GPOA)	no	yes	maybe	yes	no	
28 Ability to Remove IRA from Beneficiary's Estate	no	yes	probably	yes	yes	
29 Can easily name charitable contingent or remainder beneficiaries & "stretch" for primary	no	yes	yes	yes	no	
30 Can exploit NUA LTCG for employer stock in QRP	n/a	n/a	n/a	only if distributed	yes	
31 Can pay IM fees from outside IRA accts (deduct) (see Rev. Rul. 84-186 and IRC §212)	yes	yes	no	(must go to trust/ yes	yes	
32 Leverage \$5.43m exclusion (Bypass/CST, GST)	none	"leaky"	"leaky"	"leaky"	optimal	

28 Ability to Remove IRA from Beneficiary's Estate 29 Can easily name charitable contingent or remainder beneficiaries & "stretch" for primary 30 Can exploit NUA LTCG for employer stock in QRP 31 Can pay IM fees from outside IRA accts (deduct) (see Rev. Rul. 84-186 and IRC §212) 32 Leverage \$5.43m exclusion (Bypass/CST, GST) 33 Possibility of multiple states taxation of income, state tax even when bene lives in no-tax state, or state income tax avoidance even when bene is in a taxing state, to extent no distributions	
remainder beneficiaries & "stretch" for primary 30 Can exploit NUA LTCG for employer stock in QRP 31 Can pay IM fees from outside IRA accts (deduct) (see Rev. Rul. 84-186 and IRC §212) 32 Leverage \$5.43m exclusion (Bypass/CST, GST) 33 Possibility of multiple states taxation of income, state tax even when bene lives in no-tax state, or state income tax avoidance even when	es
(must go to trust/bene, not to yes (see Rev. Rul. 84-186 and IRC §212) 32 Leverage \$5.43m exclusion (Bypass/CST, GST) 33 Possibility of multiple states taxation of income, state tax even when bene lives in no-tax state, or state income tax avoidance even when (must go to trust/bene, not to yes no yes no yes no yes no yes no yes no no no no yes (dependent state income tax avoidance even when yes no yes no no no no yes state of see state tax even when state of see not tax avoidance even when yes no yes no yes no no no no yes state tax even when yes no yes	0
31 Can pay IM fees from outside IRA accts (deduct) (see Rev. Rul. 84-186 and IRC §212) 32 Leverage \$5.43m exclusion (Bypass/CST, GST) none "leaky" "leaky" "leaky" "leaky" optimal 33 Possibility of multiple states taxation of income, state tax even when bene lives in no-tax state, or state income tax avoidance even when	
33 Possibility of <i>multiple</i> states taxation of income, no no no no yes state tax <i>even when</i> bene lives in no-tax state, or state income tax <i>avoidance</i> even when state of se	,
state tax even when bene lives in no-tax state, or state income tax avoidance even when (dependent of second or state or state or second or second or state or second	mal
	nds on settlor,
34 Possible pecuniary funding IRD disaster no no no yes yes (review GST funding, 1/3 at age X, not just A/B)	∋s
35 Possibility of income trapped at 39.6% >\$12,300 no no yes but unlikely yes (may indirectly cause 3.8% surtax on other inc)) S
36 Get §691(c) ET deduction w/o §68 Pease haircut no no no probably not yes, if ac (#31, #33-36 may not apply to Roth IRAs) © Ed Morrow: edwin_p_morrow@keybank.com or edwin.morrow3@gmail.	